

Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Document Control Center – WO66-G609 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

March 25, 2015

Wright Medical Technology, Incorporated Ms.Val Myles Regulatory Affairs Specialist 1023 Cherry Road Memphis, Tennessee 38117

Re: K142117

Trade/Device Name: INVISION™ Total Ankle Revision System

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 888.3110

Regulation Name: Ankle joint metal/polymer semi-constrained cemented prosthesis

Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: HSN Dated: February 23, 2015 Received: February 24, 2015

Dear Ms. Myles:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); good manufacturing practice requirements as set

forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820); and if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801), please contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address

http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ResourcesforYou/Industry/default.htm. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to

<u>http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm</u> for the CDRH's Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Industry and Consumer Education at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address

http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ResourcesforYou/Industry/default.htm.

Sincerely yours,

Lori A. Wiggins -S

for
Mark N. Melkerson
Director
Division of Orthopedic Devices
Office of Device Evaluation
Center for Devices and
Radiological Health

Enclosure

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

## **Indications for Use**

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120 Expiration Date: January 31, 2017 See PRA Statement on last page.

510(k) Number (if known) K142117
Device Name INVISION Total Ankle Revision System
Indications for Use (Describe) The INVISION Total Ankle Revision System is indicated for patients with ankle joints damaged by severe rheumatoid, post-traumatic, or degenerative arthritis.
The INVISION Total Ankle Revision System is additionally indicated for patients with a failed previous ankle surgery.
CAUTION: The ankle prosthesis is intended for cement use only.
Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)
PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE – CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.
FOR FDA USE ONLY
Concurrence of Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) (Signature)

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

#### \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."





K142117 Page 1/2

1023 Cherry Road Memphis, TN 38117

901 867 9971 wmt.com

# 510(K) SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS

In accordance with the Food and Drug Administration Rule to implement provisions of the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990 and in conformance with 21 CFR 807.92, this information serves as a Summary of Safety and Effectiveness for the use of the INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System.

1. Submitted By: Wright Medical Technology, Inc.

1023 Cherry Road Memphis, TN 38117

**Date:** February 23, 2015

Contact Person: Val Myles

Regulatory Affairs Specialist Office (901) 290-5162 Fax (901) 867-4190

2. **Proprietary Name:** INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System

**Common Name:** Ankle Prosthesis

Classification Name and Reference: 21 CFR 888.3110- Class II

Device Product Code, Device Panel: HSN - Orthopedic

**3. Predicate Device:** K140749 INFINITY® Total Ankle System

K123954 INFINITY® Total Ankle System K133585 INBONE® II Total Ankle System K100886 INBONE® II Total Ankle System

#### 4. Device Description

The subject INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System is a fixed-bearing system that is specifically designed considering revision procedures. Based on the INBONE® Total Ankle System platform, INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System includes tibial trays that are compatible with the INBONE® Total Ankle System talar stems and INBONE® Sulcus Poly Inserts. To accommodate various patients' boney defects, the INVISION<sup>TM</sup> tibial trays are available in two heights: +4 mm and +8 mm, which are an additional 4 mm or 8 mm above the current INBONE® height.

#### 5. Intended Use and Indications for Use

The INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System is intended to give a patient limited mobility by reducing pain, restoring alignment and replacing the flexion and extension movement in the ankle joint.

The INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System is indicated for patients with ankle joints damaged by severe rheumatoid, post-traumatic, or degenerative arthritis.

The INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System is additionally indicated for patients with a failed previous ankle surgery.

*CAUTION:* The ankle prosthesis is intended for cement use only.

## 6. Technological Characteristics Comparison

The INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System has identical indications, utilizes similar instrumentation, is made identical materials, and has identical sterilization methods when compared with the legally marketed predicate devices.

## 7. Substantial Equivalence- Non-Clinical Evidence

Dimensional comparisons were used to demonstrated that the tibial trays of the INVISION<sup>TM</sup> Total Ankle Revision System does not present a new worst-case compared to the tibial trays of the INBONE® Total Ankle System.

#### 8. Substantial Equivalence- Clinical Evidence

N/A

#### 9. Substantial Equivalence- Conclusions

The design characteristics of the subject devices do not raise any new types of questions of safety or effectiveness. From the evidence submitted in this 510(k), the subject devices can be expected to perform at least as well as the predicate systems and are substantially equivalent.